



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231*Roh*

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/331,631 06/21/99 MANNERS

J CULLN23.001A

HM22/0719

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR  
620 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE  
SIXTEENTH FLOOR  
NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660-8016

EXAMINER

ROBINSON, H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1653

DATE MAILED:

07/19/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. <b>09/331,631</b>	Applicant(s)	<b>Manners et al.</b>
	Examiner <b>Hope Robinson</b>	Group Art Unit <b>1653</b>	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hope Robinson

(3) Mr. Dan Altman

(2) Christopher Low

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jul 18, 2000

Type:  Telephonic  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No. If yes, brief description:

\_\_\_\_\_

Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: Overall case.

Identification of prior art discussed:

\_\_\_\_\_

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Altman indicated that a shortened statutory period was given in the case of 09/331,631 of one month instead of three. In reviewing the above case it was noted that an error was made in assigning the statutory period. Applicant has three months to respond to the Office Action and can extend this time period if necessary by paying the appropriate fees.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2.  Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

*Christopher S. F. Low*  
CHRISTOPHER S. F. LOW

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.